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Abstract

A three-dimensional global, monthly mean atmospheric ozone climatology for use in general circulation models (GCMs) is constructed based on recent satellite and ozonesonde measurements. The present report describes in details the construction of this climatology, and discusses the data uncertainties and future refinements.
1. Introduction

Atmospheric ozone ($O_3$) absorbs solar radiation, in particular, the ultraviolet radiation that controls basic biological processes. It also traps outgoing longwave radiation, thus acting as a greenhouse gas. The presence of $O_3$ is therefore important not only to the biological activities on the Earth's surface but also to the radiation budget and climate (Bower and Ward 1982). Ozone observations have been substantially expanded, especially since the late 1970s when satellite measurements became available. The atmospheric $O_3$ distribution has been changing, notably decreases in the lower stratosphere around the globe and increases in the upper troposphere in the mid-to-high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere. These changes can significantly perturb the radiative forcing and thus modify the Earth-atmosphere climate system (WMO 1994). General circulation model (GCM) studies have shown that an accurate $O_3$ representation is required not only to simulate a realistic present climate but also to study potential climate responses to $O_3$ changes (Hansen et al. 1993; Wang et al. 1995; Liang and Wang 1995).

However, the $O_3$ climatologies used in most GCMs are based on measurements before 1970s and, at the best, limited early satellite measurements. In general, these climatologies are represented by latitude-altitude variations of $O_3$ mixing ratio and/or longitude-latitude distributions of column total ozone amount. Among the 30 GCMs participating in the Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP; Gate 1992; Phillips 1994), one-third use $O_3$ latitude-altitude variations that are obtained from a few years of ozonesonde observations over North America (Herring and Borden 1965; Dopplick 1974; Wilcox and Belmont 1977). Another one-third include $O_3$ latitude-altitude variations that are partly derived from satellite measurements during a short period (Dütsch 1978; McPeters et al. 1984; Rosenfield et al. 1987; Keating et al. 1987). For the rest of GCMs, some incorporate only the observed total ozone distributions (London et al. 1976; Bowman 1988), while others employ simple model prediction or parameterization.

Recently, we have replaced the zonally symmetric $O_3$ climatology (Dütsch 1978) in the SUNYA/NCAR GCM with more contemporary measurements that include longitudinal variations (Wang et al. 1995). This new three-dimensional climatology is constructed from long-term records of TOMS (total ozone mapping spectrometer) and
SAGE (stratospheric aerosol and gas experiment) II satellite data as well as ozone-sonde measurements. The O₃ update has been found to substantially ameliorate regional climate simulations (Wang et al. 1995). In addition, when the O₃ trend estimated from TOMS is imposed upon the new climatology in the lower stratosphere, the GCM simulates quite realistic climate responses when compared with observations, in particular, the lower stratospheric cooling signals that were identified with O₃ depletion during 1979-1992 (Liang and Wang 1995). Since then, many modeling groups have requested this data set for use in their GCMs. The purpose of this report is to document in details the construction of the O₃ climatology and its implementation in GCMs.

Below, we first describe the data preparation, which covers the correction of obvious data biases, the fill-in of missing data, and the merging of different data sets. As a result, a three-dimensional global, monthly mean O₃ climatology is given. We then provide a procedure for GCM implementation. Finally we discuss several data problems and outline future refinements.

2. Data Sources and Preparation

The available measurements are still inadequate to construct a truly three-dimensional O₃ climatology. Although measurements of total O₃ amount are extensive and adequate to provide geographic distribution on a global basis, information on the O₃ vertical distribution is lacking. The construction of the O₃ climatology therefore involves ad hoc linkages between vertical profiles and total amounts.

Stratospheric Ozone Profiles

The stratospheric O₃ latitude-altitude distribution is constructed from SAGE II measurements. The raw data were provided in the GEDEX CD-ROM [USA_NASA_NCDS_GEDEX_004], as released in 1992 by the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. The data cover the period October 1984 to November 1989, and the details were described in McCormick (1989). SAGE II performs 15 sunrise and 15 sunset daily measurements, each separated by 24.5 degrees in longitude. Because of the satellite orbital inclination, the SAGE II spatial coverage extends over a latitude range...
of approximately 80S to 80N, which varies with months. The measurement precision was estimated to be within 7% at all altitudes between 20 and 53 km, degrading to 20% at 60 km and to 50% at 10 km (Cunnold et al. 1989).

Note that SAGE I measurements is also available for the period February 1979 to November 1981. We however exclude their use because of the existence of substantial differences between long-term SAGE I and II averages. Cunnold et al. (1989) indicated that SAGE II profiles are more precise than SAGE I profiles. In addition, the altitude registration of SAGE I data are now found to be offset by 300 meters (WMO 1994). Therefore, as suggested by McCormick et al. (1992), we use here only the SAGE II self-calibrating instrument data to eliminate uncertainties caused by systematic instrumental differences. Note also that SAGE II data in the troposphere are biased due to the presence of clouds (McCormick et al. 1992). Thus, only stratospheric measurements are adopted here.

We first calculate monthly, zonal mean profiles of O$_3$ volume mixing ratio from all available SAGE II measurements within 5 degrees wide latitude bands. These profiles are obtained at the 1 km vertical resolution (as in the original data) extending over the geometric altitude range of 13.5-60.5 km. The resulting monthly, latitude-altitude distributions are illustrated in Fig. 1. Three problems are noticed. First, no data are available over polar regions with the latitudinal extent a function of particular months. Second, for several mid- and low latitude bands, data are missing in February, June, August and December. Third, obvious data biases exist at and around the latitudes where missing data are found.

The above data problems are resolved as follows. We first eliminate these edging biases by extrapolation through vertical or meridional gradient adjustments toward adjacent latitudes and months, which are chosen subjectively. Then linear interpolations between three successive months are applied to fill the data gaps in the middle month. Finally subjective extension to the polar regions is conducted by manual drawing of the contours. Note that all data treatments are done on the logarithm of O$_3$ volume mixing ratio to allow better representation of the two orders of magnitude variations over the computational domain. The analyzed results are plotted in Fig. 1, overlaying with the raw data distributions for comparison.
3. **Tropospheric Ozone and Upper Boundary Condition**

The tropospheric O$_3$ latitude-altitude distribution was provided by J.A. Logan of Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts. This data set is based on measurements from more than 40 ozonesonde and surface stations. The data periods range from 4 to 18 years during 1963-1984. (Logan 1985; Oltmans and Komhyr 1986; Spivakovsky et al. 1990). The monthly mean O$_3$ volume mixing ratios are given at a latitude resolution of 15 degrees for 75S to 15N and 7.5 degrees for 15N to 75N. For most latitude bands, data are available at 1000, 700, 500, 300, 200, 150, 100 hPa pressure levels. At 22.5N and 15S, additional data are presented at 900, 800, 850 and 70 hPa levels. In the equator, only the annual mean values are supplied and assumed to be the same for all months. Values at higher latitudes are set equal to values at 75N(S). Note that measurements are sparse for the tropics and Southern Hemisphere.

To be consistent, the tropospheric data are interpolated to the same computational grids as for the SAGE II analysis, i.e., with the resolution of 5 degrees in latitude and 1 km in altitude. The lowest pressure level (1000 hPa) data, however, are maintained. Again, the interpolations are done on logarithm of O$_3$ volume mixing ratio, which is assumed to depend linearly on both latitude and altitude. The altitude versus pressure conversion is made according to the US-1976 Standard Atmosphere (see next section).

As indicated above, the SAGE II profiles provide useful stratospheric O$_3$ information up to approximately 60 km. Above this level, we assume that the logarithm of O$_3$ volume mixing ratio is a linear function of altitude. To complete the extrapolation, a top boundary condition is specified according to the AFGL standard O$_3$ profiles (McClatchey et al. 1971). The O$_3$ volume mixing ratio at 98.5 km (~0.0003 hPa) is set to 0.0521105 ppmv for all latitudes and months.

4. **Column Total Ozone Distribution**

The geographic distribution of column total O$_3$ amount is represented by TOMS measurements. The raw data were based on the version 6 algorithm and provided in the CD-ROMs [USA_NASA_UARP_OPT_001 to 003] by the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, as released in December 1990, July 1991 and April 1992. The data cov-
er the period November 1978 to January 1992 and are given as monthly mean gridded values at a resolution of 1.25 degree longitude by 1 degree latitude. The measurement details were documented in McPeters et al. (1993). The measurement precision was estimated to be 2 % or better (Bhartia et al. 1984; Bojkov et al. 1988). The version 6 data have corrected the calibration drift of the TOMS instrument (McPeters and Komhyr 1991; Herman et al. 1991; Stolarski et al. 1991).

Since the TOMS instrument measures backscattered solar radiation, no data are available at polar night (Bowman and Krueger 1985). Thus, a special treatment is needed to fill these data gaps. First, the individual, monthly mean series of raw data contour maps are examined to determine additional latitude bands near the boundaries of missing values. Contours over these bands are substantially noisy and differ from those over the interior data domain. This is likely caused by insufficient samples that were used to produce monthly means over these areas. For the interior data domain, a linear longitudinal interpolation is then applied to obtain values for the few places with missing data. Analyses of the temporal variations of zonal means show that, for each year, the measurements over the north polar regions start and end almost at the maximum and minimum of the column O_3 annual cycle (Fig. 2). This characteristic allows us to develop the following simple but efficient procedure to fill the missing values.

First, the time series of the zonal mean at 89.5N are linearly interpolated to eliminate missing data months. For the first and last years of records, extrapolation is done such that their seasonal variations follow the adjacent year but with the cycle amplitude their own. This continuous data series is assigned to the north pole at 90N, where no longitudinal variation occurs. The remaining gaps are then filled, for each month, by a linear latitude interpolation between the north pole value and those at corresponding longitudes along the nearest latitude circle where data are available. The satisfaction of this procedure can be visualized from Fig. 2, where the resulting time series of zonal means at 89.5N, 80.5N and 70.5N are illustrated along with the raw data, as well as the 60.5N series for reference. The same procedure is applied for data gaps near the south pole. The performance however is not as good because the annual cycle minima were not measured. Nevertheless, temporal variations at 80.5S and equatorward are well reproduced (Fig. 2). In addition, the geographic distributions of our analyzed total O_3 amount over northern high latitudes agree well with GO3OS (global ozone observing system) ground-based observations during the over-

Given the above procedure, global monthly distributions of long-term averaged climatology and linear trend can be archived using the analyzed data that continued from November 1978 through January 1992. Figure 3 depicts seasonal variations of the zonal mean climatology and trend of total $O_3$ amount. For comparison, corresponding results derived from the raw data are also shown.

5. Composite 3-D $O_3$ Climatology

We now combine the analyzed data sets to construct a three-dimensional $O_3$ climatology for a given GCM longitude-latitude grid mesh designated by $(\lambda, \phi)$. First, the SAGE II stratospheric profiles are merged with the tropospheric soundings and the prescribed upper boundary extrapolation to produce a unique latitude-altitude structure of $O_3$ volume mixing ratio. The merging levels are specified at 16.5 and 57.5 km, respectively. A smooth transition is ensured by invoking a log-linear altitude interpolation between the SAGE II at 15.5 km and the tropospheric soundings at 12 km, although the latter have data up to 16.3-18.5 km (100-70 hPa). This unified set, which carries data from 90S to 90N with a 5 degree interval, is linearly interpolated in latitude into the GCM grid mesh. As a result, the outputs are given at the GCM grid latitudes and 1-km-interval vertical levels. We denote this product as $O(\phi, z)$. Second, the analyzed TOMS data at the 1.25 degree longitude x 1 degree latitude resolution are interpolated linearly both in longitude and latitude to obtain GCM gridded total $O_3$ amount distribution, $TO(\lambda, \phi)$. The final local $O_3$ volume mixing ratio, $R(\lambda, \phi, z)$, is composited from the adjustment of the vertical profile, $O(\phi, z)$, toward a correct column total amount, $TO(\lambda, \phi)$:

$$R(\lambda, \phi, z) = O(\phi, z) \left[ \frac{TO(\lambda, \phi)}{\left( \frac{V_0}{M} \right) \int_{z_s}^{\infty} O(\phi, \xi) \rho d\xi} \right]$$

where $\rho$ is the local air density, $z_s$ is the surface elevation, $V_0$ is the volume of an ideal gas at STP (standard temperature and pressure at the sea level), and is the $M$ mean molecular weight of air. The vertical integration is over the entire atmo-
sphere column, where \( O(\phi, \zeta) \) values outside of the data altitude domain 0.118-98.5 km are assumed by homogeneous extension. Thus, at each grid \((\lambda, \phi)\) point, the vertical profile maintains a zonally symmetric shape while its amplitude is scaled to adjust the column total amount toward the TOMS data.

So far we have presented the vertical \( O_3 \) variations as a function of geometric altitude. For use in GCMs, it is preferred to invoke a pressure dependence. Without the instantaneous atmospheric temperature structure, the actual geometric altitude is unknown. For reference, we adopt the altitude versus pressure conversion that is based on the US-1976 Standard Atmosphere using the analytic representation of Fels (1986). Thus, we have monthly distributions of \( O(\phi, p) \) and \( TO(\lambda, \phi) \), and

\[
R(\lambda, \phi, p) = O(\phi, p) \left[ \frac{TO(\lambda, \phi)}{\left( \frac{V_0}{gM} \right) \int_{\zeta}^{\rho} O(\phi, \zeta) \rho \, d\zeta} \right]
\]

where \( p_s \) is the local surface pressure at \((\lambda, \phi)\), and \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity. Given constants, \( V_0 = 22413.6 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol} \), \( M = 28.9644 \text{ g/mol} \) and \( g = 9.80616 \text{ m/s}^2 \), we have the coefficient \( \left( \frac{V_0}{gM} \right) = 789.129 \text{ cm-STP/hPa} \).

For reference, Figs. 4 and 5 illustrate monthly distributions of \( O(\phi, p) \) and \( TO(\lambda, \phi) \), respectively. Small differences between Figs. 1 and 4 in the overlap domain are noticed because the latter has scaled to produce the identical zonal mean total \( O_3 \) amount as the TOMS data (Fig. 3).

6. GCM Implementation

The most pertinent GCM component that depends on atmospheric ozone is the radiation process. To compute the absorption in the solar and longwave radiative transfer parameterizations, ozone path lengths are needed and usually defined as (Chervin 1986):

This has not been incorporated in the present initial climatology. Perhaps the most pertinent problem with the long \( \phi \) distribution lies in the vertical column data.
\[ L_n(\lambda, \varphi, p) = \left( \frac{V_0}{gM_0^{n-1}} \right) \int_0^p R(\lambda, \varphi, \xi) \xi^{n-1} d\xi = \text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi) \left( \int_0^p O(\varphi, \xi) \xi^{n-1} d\xi \right) \left( \frac{\int_0^p O(\varphi, \xi) \xi^{n-1} d\xi}{p_0^{n-1} \int_0^{p_0} O(\varphi, \xi) d\xi} \right) \]

where \( p_0 = 1013.25 \) hPa is the pressure at STP, and \( n = 1 \) or 2. \( L_1 (\lambda, \varphi, p) \) is needed for solar absorption, while longwave calculations require both \( L_1 (\lambda, \varphi, p) \) and \( L_2 (\lambda, \varphi, p) \). For a specific GCM application, we recommend the following procedure to evaluate Eq. (3).

First, at the beginning of each calendar date, a linear interpolation between the two nearest months is applied to calculate the representative \( O(\varphi, p) \) and \( \text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi) \) distributions for that day. On the data grid mesh, two additional quantities are computed:

\[ \text{OL}_1 (\varphi, p_{i+1}) = \int_0^{p_{i+1}} O(\varphi, \xi) d\xi = \sum_{i=0}^1 O(\varphi, p_i)(p_{i+1} - p_i) \]

\[ \text{OL}_2 (\varphi, p_{i+1}) = 2 \int_0^{p_{i+1}} O(\varphi, \xi) d\xi = \sum_{i=0}^1 O(\varphi, p_i)(p_{i+1}^2 - p_i^2) \]

where \( p_{i+1} \) is the pressure at the lower interface of the \( O(\varphi, p) \) data layer that is centered at pressure \( p_i \). These four fields are saved for subsequent calculations of instantaneous local ozone path lengths during the entire day.

Second, at each step of radiation calculations, corresponding parameters at a specific model pressure level, \( p^k \) are calculated by

\[ \text{OL}_1^m (\varphi, p_k) = \text{OL}_1 (\varphi, p_1) + O(\varphi, p_1)(p_k - p_1) \]

\[ \text{OL}_2^m (\varphi, p_k) = \text{OL}_2 (\varphi, p_1) + O(\varphi, p_1)(p_k^2 - p_1^2) \]

where \( p_1 \leq p_k < p_{i+1} \), and the superscript denotes for a quantity that is carried on the model pressure levels. The adjustment of the total \( O_3 \) amount toward \( \text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi) \) is represented by the scaling factors:
\[ \text{TOS}_1(\lambda, \varphi) = \frac{\text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\text{OL}_1}(\varphi, p_k) \]

\[ \text{TOS}_2(\lambda, \varphi) = \frac{\text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\text{OL}_2}(\varphi, p_k)^2p_0 \]

Finally, the instantaneous local ozone path lengths are given by

\[ L_1(\lambda, \varphi, p_k) = \text{OL}_1(\varphi, p_k)^2 \times \text{TOS}_1(\lambda, \varphi) \]

\[ L_2(\lambda, \varphi, p_k) = \text{OL}_2(\varphi, p_k)^2 \times \text{TOS}_2(\lambda, \varphi) \]

Note that the units of \( L_n(\lambda, \varphi, p_k) \) are generally in cm-STP. Through the above procedure, this is realized as long as \( \text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi) \) is given in cm-STP and \( p' \) and \( p \) in hPa.

7. Concluding Remarks

We have constructed a three-dimensional global, monthly mean \( \text{O}_3 \) climatology using long-term records of TOMS and SAGE II satellite measurements together with ozonesonde data. Special efforts have been taken to prepare this climatology for general use in GCMs. Although it has significantly improved over the data sets that are prescribed in most of current GCMs, substantial uncertainties remain. Several issues are discussed below.

First, the latitude-altitude \( \text{O}_3 \) distribution \( O(\varphi, p) \) over polar regions is almost completely obtained by extrapolation, while the specification of local \( \text{O}_3 \) vertical profiles through adjustment of \( O(\varphi, p) \) toward correct column total amount \( \text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi) \) is arbitrary. These however are not likely to be resolved in the near future due to basic data limitations. Second, above 57.5 km, the log-linear altitude extrapolation toward the AFGL upper boundary condition is questionable. As Keating et al. (1987) showed, the ultraviolet instrument aboard the solar mesosphere explorer satellite (Barth et al. 1983) observed \( \text{O}_3 \) volume mixing ratio minima near 0.02 hPa (approximately 75 km). This has not been incorporated in the present \( O(\varphi, p) \) climatology. Perhaps the most pertinent problem with the \( O(\varphi, p) \) distribution lies in the vertical domain near the
tropopause and in the troposphere, where scarce and inhomogeneous soundings are used. In particular, the radiative forcing and the climatic effect of \( \text{O}_3 \) change indicate the greatest sensitivity in the vicinity of the tropopause (Wang et al. 1980; Lacis et al. 1990), which is just at the transition level from direct sounding to satellite data. Third, the \( \text{TO}(\lambda, \varphi) \) climatology includes the perturbations caused by the eruption of the El Chichon in 1982 and Mount Pinatubo in 1991 as well as the systematic measurement biases due to a failure of the TOMS instrument from May 1991 onward (WMO 1994). All of these may affect the representativeness of the climatology.

It is therefore important to continue refinements using more contemporary measurements. Recently, comprehensive and long-term records of high quality ozone-sonde data have been archived (Logan 1994; Bojkov et al. 1995). The TOMS version 7 data set of total \( \text{O}_3 \) amount is now available, which extends the record period from November 1979 through April 1993 and have corrected several version 6 biases (MCPeters et al. 1996). Currently, Earth Probe TOMS and ADEOS TOMS provide near real-time total \( \text{O}_3 \) amount data. Meanwhile, the SAGE II \( \text{O}_3 \) profile measurements continue to the present. In addition, the scheduled SAGE III lunch in 1998 will augment such measurements and resolve the \( \text{O}_3 \) vertical structure up to 85 km. Appropriate processing and the merging of all these data sets are warranted to construct an improved \( \text{O}_3 \) climatology.
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Figure 1. Monthly mean latitude-altitude distributions of O$_3$ volume mixing ratio (ppmv) logarithm from the SAGE II raw measurements (solid contours) and the analyzed data (dashed contours). The contour interval is 0.1 units. Regions of mixing ratio values greater than 5 ppmv are shaded.
Figure 1 continued
Figure 2. Monthly series of zonal mean total O\textsubscript{3} amount (Dobson units or 10\textsuperscript{-3} cm-STP) at north (south) polar latitudes 89.5, 80.5 and 70.5 for the period 1979 to 1991. Solid curves are plotted from the TOMS raw measurements while the dashed segmentations are from the analyzed data. For reference, the measured series at 60.5 are shown in each plot.
Figure 3. Seasonal variations of climatological zonal means (Dobson unit, $10^{-3}$ cm-STP) and linear decreasing relative trends (percent per decade) of total O$_3$ amount as derived from the TOMS raw measurements (top) and the analyzed data (bottom) during the period 1979 to 1991. The contour interval is 20 units for the means and 2 for the trends. Trends of O$_3$ relative decreases greater than 6 units are stippled.
Figure 4. Monthly mean climatological latitude-altitude distributions of O₃ volume mixing ratio (ppmv) logarithm from the combined analysis data. The contour interval is 0.2 units, negative values are dashed, and mixing ratios greater than 5 ppmv are shaded.
Figure 5. Monthly mean climatological longitude-latitude distributions of total O$_3$ amount (Dobson unit or 10$^{-3}$ cm-STP) as derived from the TOMS analyzed data between November 1978 and January 1992. The contour interval is 20 units. The shaded regions indicate where the raw measurements are missing.
Figure 5 continued