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ABSTRACT

Recent studies have shown that patterns of near-surface temperature change due to combined forcing by CO$_2$ and anthropogenic sulfate aerosols are easier to identify in the observations than signals due to changes in CO$_2$ alone (Santer et al., 1995; Mitchell et al., 1995a). Here we extend this work to the vertical structure of atmospheric temperature changes, and additionally consider the possible effects of stratospheric ozone reduction. We compare modelled and observed patterns over the lower troposphere to the lower stratosphere (850 to 50 hPa) and over the low- to mid-troposphere (850 to 500 hPa). In both regions there are strong similarities between observed changes and model-predicted signals. Over 850 to 50 hPa similarities are evident both in CO$_2$-only signals and in signals that incorporate the added effects of sulfate aerosols and stratospheric ozone reduction. These similarities are due largely to a common pattern of stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming in the observations and model experiments. Including the effects of stratospheric ozone reduction results in a more realistic height for the transition between stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming. In the low- to mid-troposphere the observations are in better agreement with the temperature-change patterns due to combined forcing than with the CO$_2$-only pattern. This is the result of hemispheric-scale temperature-change contrasts that are common to the observations and the combined forcing signal but absent in the CO$_2$-only case. The levels of model-versus-observed pattern similarity in both atmospheric regions increase over the period 1963 to 1987. If model estimates of natural internal variability are realistic, it is likely that these trends in pattern similarity are partially due to human activities.
1. Introduction

Changes in the vertical structure of atmospheric temperature have been proposed as a possible "fingerprint" of greenhouse-gas-induced climate change (Madden and Ramanathan, 1980; Epstein, 1982; Karoly, 1987, 1989). Until recently, most of our information about the structure of such a fingerprint has been derived from equilibrium CO₂ doubling experiments performed with atmospheric General Circulation Models (AGCMs) coupled to mixed-layer oceans (Schlesinger and Mitchell, 1987; Mitchell et al., 1990). These experiments yielded a fingerprint pattern characterized by stratospheric cooling, tropospheric warming, a warming maximum in the tropical upper troposphere, and (for annual mean zonally-averaged changes) an approximate hemispheric symmetry of the temperature response (see Fig. 1a).

One recent study (Karoly et al., 1994) has compared such model-predicted patterns of temperature change with observed latitude-height temperature-change profiles. The latter were obtained from the radiosonde analyses of Oort, and span the period 1963-87 (Oort, 1983; Oort and Liu, 1993). The conclusion reached by this work was that the observed data showed an increasing expression of the equilibrium temperature-change signal predicted by two different AGCMs in response to CO₂ doubling. This time-increasing similarity was judged to be significant, and it was further concluded that the individual pattern signatures of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events and stratospheric ozone reduction were spatially dissimilar to the searched-for CO₂ fingerprint.

Although suggestive of a causal relationship between increasing levels of atmospheric CO₂ and the vertical structure of atmospheric temperature changes, this investigation did not claim attribution of all or even part of the observed changes to the specific cause of changes in CO₂. The principal uncertainties were related to the quality and short record length of the radiosonde data, the lack of a dynamic ocean in the model experiments, the neglect of other anthropogenic forcings (such as changes in sulfate aerosol loadings) and concerns regarding the estimation of significance by re-sampling of the observed data (Karoly et al., 1994; Karl, 1994). One further concern
was whether natural climatic variability could mimic the model-predicted greenhouse fingerprint, as preliminary analyses of observations and model control runs had suggested (Wigley and Barnett, 1990; Liu and Schuermanns, 1990; Santer et al., 1994). All of these factors hampered more confident statements regarding detection of a significant change, and attribution of (some fraction of) that change to increasing CO$_2$.

Our investigation differs from this earlier work in three ways. First, we examine the relative detectability of vertical temperature-change signals from recent experiments with individual and combined changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ and anthropogenic sulfate aerosols (Taylor and Penner, 1994). Second, we consider how a combined CO$_2$+SO$_4$ vertical temperature-change signal might be modified by observed changes in stratospheric ozone. The observed reduction in stratospheric ozone over the last decade or so is attributable largely to the industrial production of halocarbons (Prather et al., 1995). These changes may have a complex signature in the thermal structure of the atmosphere, varying as a function of latitude, altitude and season (Stolarski et al., 1992). One recent study that has forced an atmospheric GCM by changes in both CO$_2$ and stratospheric ozone has shown that the inclusion of ozone effects improves model agreement with the Oort temperature data (at least in global-mean terms), particularly in the upper troposphere (Hansen et al., 1995). At present, no atmospheric GCM has been forced by combined changes in CO$_2$, anthropogenic sulfate aerosols and stratospheric ozone. Pending the availability of results from such an experiment, we perform a simple sensitivity study by linearly combining results from ozone-only (Ramaswamy et al., 1995a) and CO$_2$+SO$_4$ (Taylor and Penner, 1994) model studies.

Third, we use information from two long model control integrations with no changes in greenhouse gases, sulfate aerosols or ozone to assess the significance of trends in model-versus-observed pattern similarity. Such integrations provide estimates of the magnitude and patterns of multi-decadal internally-generated natural climate variability – information that is impossible to obtain from the short (ca. 40-year) radiosonde temperature record.
2. Model and Observed Data

The CO$_2$-only and CO$_2$+SO$_4$ vertical temperature-change signals that we attempt to detect in the observed data were taken from experiments performed by Taylor and Penner (1994; henceforth TP) with the GRANTOUR tropospheric chemistry model (Walton et al., 1988) coupled to the Livermore version of the National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Climate Model (NCAR CCM-1; Taylor and Ghan, 1992). The AGCM was coupled to a 50-meter mixed-layer ocean with prescribed meridional heat transport. GRANTOUR is a Lagrangian trace species model that simulates the transport, transformation and removal of various sulfur species (Penner et al., 1994a). The coupled chemistry-climate model considers only the direct radiative effects of sulfate aerosols (reflection of incident solar radiation). It does not treat indirect aerosol effects on climate due to changes in cloud microphysical properties (Jones et al., 1994; Erickson et al., 1995; Shine et al., 1995) or the radiative effects of carbonaceous and mineral aerosols from biomass burning and land surface modification (Penner et al., 1992; Tegen and Fung, 1995).

In addition to a control run with nominal pre-industrial CO$_2$ (270 ppmv) and no anthropogenic sulfur emissions, three perturbation experiments were performed: a sulfate-only experiment (S) with near-present-day anthropogenic sulfur emissions, a CO$_2$-only experiment (C) with near-present-day CO$_2$ levels (345 ppmv), and an experiment with combined present-day CO$_2$ levels and anthropogenic sulfur emissions (SC; Taylor and Penner, 1994). All integrations were at least 30 years in duration, and temperature-change signals were computed using averages over the last 20 years of the control run and each perturbation experiment. The signals therefore represent equilibrium changes between present-day and preindustrial conditions.

To study how a reduction in stratospheric O$_3$ might modify the SC signal pattern, we use data from an experiment performed by Ramaswamy et al. (1995a) with the GFDL SKYHI atmospheric GCM. The model was forced with observed monthly-mean zonal average changes in stratospheric ozone over the period 1979-1990, and was run with fixed cloud distributions in the troposphere and sea-surface tempera-
ture prescribed according to climatology. An idealized vertical structure of ozone losses was imposed, with constant percentage reductions in an atmospheric region extending from the tropopause to roughly 7 km above. The model-predicted spatio-temporal signal in the lower stratosphere is generally in good accord with available satellite-based temperature measurements (Ramaswamy et al., 1995a).

The Oort radiosonde analyses of temperature were available as anomalies (for DJF, JJA, and annually-averaged data) relative to a reference period of 1963-73, and spanned the period 1963-87 (Oort, 1983; Oort and Liu, 1993). Observed data are in the form of zonal averages for seven atmospheric levels (850, 700, 500, 300, 200, 100, and 50 hPa). The principal uncertainties in this data set have been described previously (Karoly et al., 1994; Oort and Liu, 1993). The most serious include the existence of time-varying instrumental biases and inadequate spatial coverage, particularly over the Southern Ocean. Preliminary comparisons between the Oort data and satellite-derived estimates of vertical temperature changes indicate that the two data sets are in good agreement over the period of overlap (1979-1990), at least in global- and hemispheric-mean terms (Christy, 1996; Oort and Liu, 1993). The largest differences are in the tropics.

Note that the amplitudes of observed changes and the SC and O₃ responses are not directly comparable, since all three represent temperature responses to radiative forcing changes over different periods – i.e., over the 25 years from 1963-87 in the case of the Oort data, and over roughly the last 10 and 100 years in the case of the Ramaswamy et al. and TP integrations (respectively). If the radiative forcing histories and lags between forcing and response were known exactly for O₃, CO₂ and sulfate aerosols (direct effects), it would be possible to make a more meaningful comparison of the amplitudes of observed and modelled vertical temperature changes by scaling according to differences in overall forcing. Large forcing uncertainties, particularly for sulfate aerosol direct effects, make such scaling exercises very difficult. This issue is important in the linear superposition of O₃ and SC signals, and we return to it later in our statistical analysis of model-versus-observed pattern similarity.
Figure 1: Modelled and observed zonal-mean annually-averaged changes in the thermal structure of the atmosphere. Model results in panels a-c are from experiments performed by Taylor and Penner (1994). The equilibrium changes are for nominal 'present-day' levels of atmospheric CO$_2$ only (C; panel a), anthropogenic sulfate aerosols only (S; panel b), and combined forcing by CO$_2$+sulfate aerosols (SC; panel c), and are referenced to a control run with pre-industrial levels of CO$_2$ and no anthropogenic sulfur emissions. The possible effects of stratospheric O$_3$ reduction over the period 1979-90 are illustrated in a recent experiment by Ramaswamy et al. (1995a) (panel d). The linear combination ('COMB1') of the SC and O$_3$ signals is shown in panel e. Observed changes (panel f) are radiosonde-based temperature measurements from the data set by Oort and Liu (1993), and are expressed as total least-squares linear trends ($^\circ$C) over the 25-year period extending from May 1963 to April 88. Prior to computing linear trends the observations were filtered to suppress short-term variability (Santer et al., 1995).
3. Patterns of Vertical Temperature Change

Modelled and observed patterns of annual-mean zonal-mean temperature change ('signals') as a function of latitude and height are shown in Fig. 1. The TP C signal (Fig. 1a) is in accord with the signals yielded in CO₂ doubling experiments performed with other models using similar AGCM/mixed-layer ocean configurations (Schlesinger and Mitchell, 1987; Mitchell et al., 1990; Karoly et al., 1994). It shows stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming, with maximum warming in the tropical upper troposphere. Temperature changes are hemispherically-symmetric. In contrast, both the S (Fig. 1b) and SC (Fig. 1c) signals are characterized by a hemispherically-asymmetric response, with (respectively) increased cooling and reduced warming in the Northern Hemisphere, where anthropogenic sulfate aerosol forcing is largest (Taylor and Penner, 1994; Mitchell et al., 1995b). Similar patterns occur for DJF and JJA (not shown). Stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming are prominent features of both the C and SC signals, and the average height of the transition between cooling and warming (ca. 100 hPa) is similar in each case.

Vertical temperature changes from the Ramaswamy et al. stratospheric O₃ reduction experiment are characterized by stratospheric cooling, with maximum cooling (in excess of -1°C) at high latitudes in both hemispheres (Fig. 1d). Due to dynamical effects, cooling occurs throughout the lower stratosphere, even at low latitudes where the imposed ozone changes are negligible (Ramaswamy et al., 1995a). The O₃-only response is not hemispherically-symmetric: stratospheric cooling that is statistically significant occurs over a wider area in the mid- to high-latitude Northern Hemisphere than in the Southern Hemisphere (Ramaswamy et al., 1995a). In the upper troposphere, cooling extends further equatorward in the Northern Hemisphere. This is primarily due to a hemispheric asymmetry in the observed ozone changes. Note that some of the model-observed temperature differences above 100 hPa, such as the warming above ca. 70 hPa polewards of 45°C, are likely related to the idealized altitudinal profile of ozone loss (Mahlman et al., 1994). Other differences between Figs. 1d and 1f are related to the different time periods considered in the model experiment and in the observations.
As a sensitivity study, we form two linear combinations of the O$_3$ and CO$_2$-$\text{SO}_4$ temperature-change signals from the Ramaswamy et al. and TP experiments. COMB1 is the unweighted linear combination of the SC and O$_3$ signals (Fig. 1e). COMB2 (not shown) illustrates the effect of the previously-discussed uncertainties in the relative amplitudes of the SC and O$_3$ signal components by halving the amplitude of the SC signal (i.e., COMB2 = $\frac{1}{2}$SC + O$_3$).

For either COMB1 or COMB2 to be a realistic estimate of the response to combined CO$_2$+$\text{SO}_4$+O$_3$ forcing requires not only that the relative weights of the individual forcings are accurate, but also that the climate system responds linearly to small perturbations about the mean state (Ramaswamy et al., 1995b). We have tested this linear superposition assumption and found it to be valid for the C and S signals. This was done by comparing the response to combined forcing in the SC experiment with the linear combination of C and S responses. It is not possible at present to test whether O$_3$ effects can also be included in this way, since suitable model studies with individual and combined forcing are not available. The best available information suggests that a linear combination of O$_3$ and SC effects is reasonable, since the stratospheric temperature response to O$_3$ changes overwhelms the stratospheric response to all other anthropogenic forcings (Ramaswamy et al., 1995a).

The incorporation of ozone effects does not modify the SC response pattern as markedly as the inclusion of aerosol effects modified the C pattern. Stratospheric cooling is intensified by the incorporation of O$_3$ results, as is the interhemispheric asymmetry. The height of the transition between stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming is reduced relative to the SC case (c.f. Figs. 1c and 1e), but is still roughly 50 hPa higher than in observations (Fig. 1f). Note that there are uncertainties relating to observed O$_3$ losses and thus the simulated temperature changes in the vicinity of the tropopause (Mahlman et al., 1994; Ramaswamy et al., 1995a). These uncertainties have an influence on the transition height between stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming in Fig. 1e. The coarse vertical resolution of the Oort data also hampers a more accurate determination of model-versus-observed discrep-
Figure 2: Profiles of global-mean annually-averaged temperature change in model perturbation experiments and observations. The model and observed results are the area- and pressure-weighted global averages of the latitude-height sections presented in Fig. 1. Model and observed results represent changes over different periods of time (see Fig. 1 and text).

\[ R(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (D(x,i) - \Delta D(t)) (\Delta M(x) - \Delta M)}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} D(x,i) \right] \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(x) \right]} \]
ancies in transition height.

Fig. 1f shows observed temperature changes, expressed as linear trends over the 25-year period 1963-87. The observed changes show evidence of reduced warming in the Northern Hemisphere between 850-300 hPa, a feature that has been documented in previous investigations (Karoly et al., 1987, 1989; Oort and Liu, 1993) and is also prominent in seasonally-averaged data (Angell, 1988). It is visually obvious that the observed change pattern is in better accord with the SC and COMB1 signals than with the C signal. Stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming are clearly features of the observations as well as the model C, SC and COMB1 experiments, although the observed cooling extends lower in the atmosphere (to roughly 200 hPa in the tropics and 500-700 hPa from 45°N-90°N).

Although the model signals and observations in Fig. 1 represent changes over different time periods, it is nonetheless instructive to compare their global-mean profiles of annually-averaged temperature change (Fig. 2). This clearly illustrates that incorporating the effect of stratospheric O$_3$ reduction in COMB1 produces a more realistic profile and transition height between stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming than in either C or SC (Hansen et al., 1995). Results for COMB2 (not shown) are in closest agreement with the observed profile. Note that in global mean terms there is virtually no impact of stratospheric O$_3$ reduction below ca. 350 hPa (compare SC and COMB1 results). The result for S is not the inverse of C, and shows the large radiative effect of CO$_2$ above ca. 70 hPa.

4. Pattern Similarity

The method we employ to compare model and observed vertical temperature-change patterns uses a so-called 'centered' correlation statistic, $R(t)$ (Santer et al., 1993, 1995), in which the anomaly fields being compared are centered about the spatial means of each field:

$$R(t) = \sum_{x=1}^{n} \frac{(D(x, t) - \hat{D}(t)) (\Delta M(x) - \hat{\Delta M})}{\sqrt{n s_D(t) s_M}}$$

(1)
$\Delta D$ and $\Delta M$ denote temperature-change fields for observed Data and Model output, respectively, and the indices $x$ and $t$ are discrete variables running over space ($x = 1, \ldots, n$, the combined latitude-height dimension of the Oort data) and time ($t = 1963, \ldots, 1987$, the years covered by the Oort data set). Observed changes are expressed as anomalies relative to the average over 1963-73, and model changes represent the difference between time-averaged states in perturbation and control experiments. The 'hatted' quantities indicate a spatial average. The observed spatial variance $s_D^2(t)$ is given by

$$s_D^2(t) = \sum_{x=1}^{n} \left[ (\Delta D(x,t) - \bar{\Delta D}(t))^2 \right] / (n-1)$$

with the model spatial variance $s_M^2$ defined similarly. Observed data were smoothed with a 13-term Gaussian filter to suppress variability on time scales shorter than a decade (e.g., associated with ENSO events and the quasi-biennial oscillation; see Santer et al., 1995). All pattern correlations were computed using pressure- and area-weighted data.

It is evident from (1) that the observed data have a time-dependence while the model signals do not. If the observed time-varying patterns of temperature change are becoming increasingly similar to the (time-invariant) model-predicted equilibrium responses shown in Figs. 1a-e, the $R(t)$ statistic will show a sustained positive trend (Barnett and Schlesinger, 1987). This trend is unlikely to be linear and monotonic, since the observations reflect a response not only to the change with time in the anthropogenic forcing specified in the model experiment, but also a response to changes over space and time in other human-induced and natural forcings, and additionally incorporate some component of 'unforced' natural variability. There are two main issues of interest: whether trends in $R(t)$ are very different for different model signals, and whether trends in $R(t)$ could be due to internal natural variability alone.
Figure 3a: Time series of centered pattern correlations, $R(t)$, between model-predicted and observed changes in zonal-mean latitude-height profiles of atmospheric temperature. Four sets of model signal patterns are used: from the TP C and SC experiments, and from two linear combinations of the SC signal with the Ramaswamy et al. (1995a) O$_3$ reduction signal (COMB1 and COMB2; COMB1 = SC+O$_3$; COMB2 = $\frac{1}{2}$ SC+O$_3$). Observed changes are expressed as a sequence of 25 time-varying anomaly patterns (relative to the base period 1963-73), spanning the period 1963 to 1987. Observed data were filtered to reduce high-frequency noise, as described in Fig. 1. For each season and model experiment, one pattern characterizes the model response to the imposed anthropogenic forcing. This fixed pattern is then correlated with the observed time-varying spatial patterns. Results are for temperature-change patterns defined over the full vertical extent of the Oort data (50 to 850 hPa). Note that trends in $R(t)$ are relatively insensitive to the choice of averaging period for defining observed anomalies (Santer et al., 1995).
Figure 3b: As for Fig. 3a, but for comparison of model-predicted and observed changes over the mid- to lower troposphere only (500 to 850 hPa).
The premise underlying the use of a centered correlation for attribution is that different "causes" (forcing mechanisms) have different response patterns. If one can demonstrate time-increasing correspondence between the observations and a model-predicted pattern of change, and show that correspondence exists at hemispheric or smaller spatial scales - not only at the surface, but also in the full three-dimensional structure of the atmosphere - then it is unlikely that forcing mechanisms other than the ones being considered could exactly match the predicted response pattern.

Fig. 3a shows $R(t)$ values for signals from the C and SC experiments and from the COMB1 and COMB2 sensitivity studies. Pattern correlations were computed over the full vertical domain of the Oort data (50 to 850 hPa). $R(t)$ trends for all four signal patterns are positive over the 25-year period, indicating an increasing expression of the model-predicted patterns in the observed seasonally- and annually-averaged data. Similarities in the behavior of the $R(t)$ time series are due partly to the large vertical temperature gradient common to the four signal patterns (see Figs. 1a,c,e; COMB2 results not shown). This gradient dominates the patterns being compared. Differences in the magnitudes of the C, SC, COMB1 and COMB2 vertical gradients are reduced since they are scaled by different model spatial variances, $s^2_M$. Similarities in $R(t)$ are also related to the removal of different spatial mean values ($\Delta M$; see Fig. 2) from the model signal patterns, which has the effect of making the height of the transition from stratospheric cooling to tropospheric warming more similar in C, SC, COMB1 and COMB2.

To better resolve the effects of different hemispheric asymmetry in the various signals, we restricted the domain of the model-versus-observed pattern comparison to the low- to mid-troposphere (500 hPa to 850 hPa), and then recomputed $R(t)$. Exclusion of stratospheric changes reduces the large vertical temperature gradient, and focuses attention on the smaller-amplitude interhemispheric structure of the signals. $R(t)$ time series now show overall positive trends for the SC, COMB1 and COMB2 signals, but little or no trend for the C signal (Fig. 3b). Absolute values of $R(t)$ are generally slightly higher for COMB2 and COMB1 than for the SC signal. We conclude
from this that observed changes in the low- to mid-troposphere (which may be more reliable than changes in the stratosphere; see Parker and Cox, 1995) are in better agreement in all seasons with the COMB1, COMB2, and SC signals than with the C signal. The primary reason for this discrimination is the interhemispheric asymmetry (reduced warming in the Northern Hemisphere in DJF, JJA and annually-averaged data) common to the observations and the SC, COMB1 and COMB2 signals. In the model signals, this asymmetry is largely due to incorporation of sulfate aerosol effects, although ozone changes may slightly enhance the interhemispheric temperature contrast.

5. Trend Significance

Are the positive $R(t)$ trends in Figs. 3a,b unusually large relative to the trends we might expect in the absence of any anthropogenic forcing – i.e., due to internally-generated variability of the coupled atmosphere-ocean system? To address the issue of trend significance, we use natural variability noise information from two separate sources: a 310-year control experiment performed with the Hadley Centre coupled atmosphere-ocean GCM (CGCM; Mitchell et al., 1995a), and a 1,000-year control run with the GFDL CGCM (Stouffer et al., 1994). Both integrations were run with fixed atmospheric CO$_2$ and O$_3$ and with no forcing by anthropogenic sulfate aerosols. They also lack any changes in solar variability or in the atmospheric loading of volcanic aerosols.

The variability of near-surface temperature changes in both integrations has been documented previously and compared with observations (Stouffer et al., 1994; Tett et al., 1996; Manabe and Stouffer, 1996). On timescales of 10-30 years (appropriate to the length of the radiosonde record) there is good agreement between the GFDL and Hadley Centre spectra and the observed spectrum for global-mean annually-averaged near-surface temperature (Stouffer et al., 1994; Santer et al., 1996). A preliminary comparison of model and observed patterns of variability suggests that the typical spatial and temporal coherence of simulated anomaly patterns is similar to that of the observations on timescales of 5 to 10 years, although there are differences
Figure 4: Magnitude of linear trends in the $R(t)$ statistic in the absence of external forcing. 'Natural variability' $R(t)$ time series were computed by correlating the fixed pattern of annually-averaged vertical temperature changes in the SC experiment (Fig. 1c) with the time-varying temperature-change patterns from the 310- and 1,000-year Hadley Centre and GFDL control integrations. Model anomaly patterns were defined relative to the overall time-mean of each control run, and were filtered in the same way as the observations (Santer et al., 1995). The figure shows the result of fitting running linear trends to 10-, 15- and 25-year segments of the unforced $R(t)$ time series, and then plotting the magnitude (at any point in time) of the linear trend in $R(t)$. This yields the distribution of all possible unforced $R(t)$ trends for the selected timescales. The horizontal dashed lines in each panel give the magnitude of the $R(t)$ trend for the comparison of the SC signal with observations over 1978-87, 1973-87, and 1963-87 (see Fig. 3a). The level of time-increasing similarity between the observed vertical temperature-change patterns and the SC signal over the last 25 years is highly unusual relative to the unforced 25-year $R(t)$ trends. In contrast, recent 10-year trends in $R(t)$ are not unusual occurrences. All results are for temperature-change patterns defined over 50 to 850 hPa. $R(t)$ trends are plotted on the central year of the trend; GFDL results are shown for the first 300 years only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEASON</th>
<th>EXPT</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50-850 hPa</td>
<td>500-850 hPa</td>
<td>50-850 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DJF (GFDL)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJA (GFDL)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN (GFDL)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN (UKMO)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Significance levels (p-values) for seasonal- and annual vertical temperature-change signals from the TP C and SC experiments and from the COMB1 and COMB2 sensitivity studies. The signals of interest are the linear trends for the most recent 10, 15, and 25 years of the $R(t)$ time series shown in Figs. 3a and b. – i.e., the trends over 1978-87, 1973-87, and 1963-87. These trends provide information on the degree of time-increasing pattern similarity between the observations and the model simulations. To determine whether natural internal variability could mimic the searched-for signal patterns, and produce $R(t)$ trends of equal or greater magnitude than the signal trends of interest, we correlated the seasonal and annual C, SC, COMB1 and COMB2 signals with temperature anomalies from 310- and 1,000-year CGCM control integrations, as described in Fig. 4. Significance levels were then computed by comparing the ‘signal’ $R(t)$ trends with the appropriate sampling distributions for unforced trends (Santer et al., 1995). Shaded boxes denote results that achieve significance at the 5% level or better. In these cases, the time-increasing similarity between model signal patterns and observations is unlikely to be due to (model estimated) internally-generated natural variability.
Rigorous validation of the model-estimated internal variability of vertical temperature changes is problematic: we do not have a suitable 'standard' with which to compare due to the difficulty of separating internal variability from anthropogenic effects in the observations. For the purposes of this investigation we assume that the Hadley Centre and GFDL CGCMs provide credible estimates of the magnitude and patterns of internal natural variability on timescales ranging from 10 to 25 years. Our use of noise information from two separate control runs provides some indication of the robustness of our significance estimates to uncertainties in the model-estimated noise.

The significance testing procedure follows Santer et al. (1995). The C, SC, COMB1, and COMB2 signal patterns are first correlated with the vertical temperature changes simulated in the CGCM control runs. The resulting time series provide information on the behavior of the \( R(t) \) statistic in the absence of external forcing. By fitting 10-, 15-, and 25-year linear trends to overlapping chunks of the 'natural' \( R(t) \) time series, we generate sampling distributions of unforced \( R(t) \) trends and then determine whether the trends in \( R(t) \) in Figs. 3a and b over the last 10- to 25 years are unusual occurrences (Fig. 4).

If model signal patterns and observed data are compared over a vertical domain from 50 to 850 hPa, the 25-year \( R(t) \) trends for all signals (C, SC, COMB1 and COMB2) and in all seasons examined here are significantly different from unforced trends (Table 1). This result indicates that the observed change in the vertical temperature gradient over 1963-87 is large relative to the typical 25-year changes in the model control runs, and that some dissimilarity exists between the model signal patterns and the patterns due to natural internal variability (Vinnikov et al., 1995). The 15-year \( R(t) \) trends are also highly significant for all signals, but in DJF only. Only three of the 10-year \( R(t) \) trends achieve significance at the 5% level or better.

Restricting the comparison of signals, observed changes and noise patterns to
500 to 850 hPa yields a clear discrimination between the C signal and the SC, COMB1, and COMB2 signals: in DJF and in the annually-averaged data, the 25-year $R(t)$ signal trends are significant for the three cases with combined forcing, but not for the CO$_2$-only signal. As noted above, this result largely reflects the hemispheric asymmetry of warming in the observations and the SC, COMB1, and COMB2 signals, with reduced warming in the Northern Hemisphere in all seasons. Although the 25-year $R(t)$ trends in JJA are not significant at the 5% level or better, there is still a marked difference between the CO$_2$-only and combined forcing results. Significance levels are relatively insensitive to the choice of CGCM control run used to estimate natural internal variability.

6. Conclusions

The results obtained here are in accord with the those of Santer et al. (1995), who found that observed near-surface temperature changes were in closer agreement with the combined SC signal than with the C signal. In the present study, the closer accord between observed vertical temperature changes and the SC signal is due to the fact that both show clear evidence of interhemispheric asymmetry, with reduced warming in the Northern Hemisphere extending throughout the low- to mid-troposphere. This asymmetry is evident in all seasons examined here. If the estimates of internally-generated natural variability used here are realistic, we can be confident that the level of time-increasing agreement between observed changes over 1963-87 and model predictions for a combined SC signal is unlikely to have occurred by natural internal fluctuations alone.

In the absence of relevant experiments with simultaneous changes in CO$_2$, O$_3$, and anthropogenic sulfate aerosols, we have linearly combined the results of separate O$_3$-reduction and SC experiments in order to assess the possible effects of ozone changes on an SC signal. This should be regarded as a sensitivity study only, and does not obviate the need for more relevant experiments. Nevertheless, we note that the pattern correspondence between observed temperature changes and model SC predictions in the mid- to low-troposphere is improved by incorporating the possible temper-
ature effects of stratospheric ozone reduction. The implication of the recent work by Hansen et al. (1995), Ramaswamy et al. (1995a) and the present study is that climate-change detection investigations that ignore possible ozone effects are likely to be searching for a sub-optimal signal (at least in terms of vertical temperature changes).

There are a large number of uncertainties in our investigation, and indeed in all climate-change detection studies that rely on model estimates of an expected anthropogenic signal and natural variability noise (Hegerl et al., 1994; Hasselmann et al., 1995; Santer et al., 1995; Mitchell et al., 1995a; Karl et al., 1995). The uncertainties in the signals used here are manifold. The most important of these relate to the relative magnitudes of the various forcing components and the neglect of other (possibly significant) anthropogenic forcings — e.g., due to sulfate aerosol indirect effects, other anthropogenic aerosols (Penner et al., 1992, 1994b; Tegen and Fung, 1995), and changes in non-CO$_2$ greenhouse gases and tropospheric ozone.

Dynamic ocean effects are also likely to be important in defining the signal for any given forcing. Transient CO$_2$-only experiments with fully-coupled CGCMs yield hemispheric asymmetry in the opposite sense to that found in the TP SC experiment, with reduced warming in the Southern Hemisphere. This is due in part to penetrative mixing and increased heat uptake by the intermediate and deep ocean. Thus we could expect the incorporation of full ocean dynamics to modify the SC signal patterns used here, which were obtained from an AGCM coupled to a mixed-layer ocean. However, the best information that we have from a transient model simulation with full ocean dynamics and changes in both CO$_2$ and sulfate aerosols indicates that the hemispheric asymmetry found in the TP SC signal is reduced and somewhat noisier, but not reversed (S. Tett, pers. comm.)

An additional uncertainty pertains to the realism of the CGCM-derived natural variability noise (Barnett et al., 1996). This was used here to assess the likelihood that natural climate fluctuations could have fortuitously resulted in large trends in our pattern similarity statistic. The model noise estimates reflect natural variations internal to the climate system, and do not incorporate variability due to changes in
solar luminosity or the volcanic aerosol loading of the atmosphere. The validity of these model-based estimates of natural internal variability – in terms of pattern, amplitude, and timescale – is largely unknown on timescales longer than 10 years. Hence the significance levels estimated here are highly uncertain.

Could volcanic effects explain part or even all of the observed changes in the thermal structure of the atmosphere? It is difficult to answer this question without a longer observed record and more relevant model experiments. There is little evidence that the observed pattern of stratospheric cooling and tropospheric warming is due to volcanic effects, which tend to warm the stratosphere and cool the surface (Hansen et al., 1978; Vinnikov et al., 1995). It is conceivable that volcanic effects could have contributed towards the observed interhemispheric asymmetry in tropospheric temperature changes over 1963 to 1987, since the eruption of Mt. Agung in 1963 had a larger cooling effect in the Southern Hemisphere, while the climatic response to the El Chichón eruption in 1982 was primarily in the Northern Hemisphere (Robock and Free, 1995). We note, however, that the observed hemispheric temperature asymmetry in the 850-300 hPa layer is also evident in a radiosonde data set commencing in 1958 and predating any Agung effect by five years (Angell, 1988).

We have attempted to minimize short-timescale volcanic effects by filtering the observed data. It is clear from Fig. 3b, particularly in JJA and the annually-averaged data, that there is a large difference in the absolute values of the $R(t)$ results for the combined forcing and CO$_2$-only cases. The (hemispherically-asymmetric) signals due to combined CO$_2$, SO$_4$ and O$_3$ forcing are in better accord with the available data even during times when there has been little or no volcanic effect on climate.

These results, and those of other studies of near-surface temperature changes (Karl et al., 1995; Mitchell et al., 1995a; Santer et al., 1995) suggest that volcanic effects cannot explain all of the observed hemispheric asymmetry in tropospheric temperature changes, and that the observed changes are likely to include an anthropogenic component. Quantification of the relative magnitude of natural and human-induced climate effects is a difficult task. This will require improved histories
of radiative forcing, more detailed analyses of observed data, and numerical experiments that better define an anthropogenic climate-change signal and the variability due to purely natural causes.
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